

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/643,256	ZADESKY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	JIMMY H. NGUYEN	2629	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JIMMY H. NGUYEN. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Alex Chartove (applicant's rep). (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 20 November 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 46, 54, 61 and 66.

Identification of prior art discussed: Takahashi (JP H9-251347) and Chalk et al. (WO 03/044645 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative agreed to amend all independent claims 46, 54, 61 and 66 so as to make the claimed invention distinct from the prior arts. The amendment to these claims is provided in the attached Examiner's Amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

--	--